

UNOFFICIAL MINUTES UNTIL APPROVED

MINUTES
ARCHITECTURAL COMMITTEE
6:02 PM
116 WEST NEEDLES
BIXBY, OKLAHOMA
Monday
July 16, 2007

MEMBERS PRESENT	STAFF PRESENT	MEMBERS ABSENT
Beverly Savage Jim Powell David Skaggs Richard Altmann Tim Remy	Erik Enyart Deborah Forbes Donna Crawford	None

Consent Agenda:

Approval of Minutes for June 18, 2007.

Motion was made by Altmann and seconded by Remy to approve.

VOTING FOR: Savage, Powell, Skaggs, Altmann & Remy
VOTING AGAINST: None
ABSTAINING: None
MOTION PASSED: 5-0-0

Case #07-07-01

Powell states the case is the discussion and possible action to approve a ground sign for Hampton Inn & Suites in Regal Plaza, 8200 E. Regal. Jim states there is no applicant present or available. Receiving the packet it shows that Tim Remy as the applicant but Tom Christopolus is the owner and Oil Capital made the application which this should be corrected for the record. He has issues that this is interior to the complex and that they have given the hotel access to the sign on Memorial drive that is very adequate. Jim appeals that the sign is to large, it will cause the proliferation of more

signs from the business in this area, and will make all businesses want a large sign. Jim stated he would like to set a precedent to the height of signage in this addition, and proposes an on-premise ground sign of not more than 10 feet. He states that they have sufficient access to the sign on Memorial. Jim recommends the 10 foot sign to be approved.

Altmann states that he would like to see something lower as to a 6 or 8 foot signage due to all the signage out on Memorial and due to the fact that the west face wall of the building has its own signage.

Savage asks if this requires an exception to any ordinance.

Crawford states that when the application was received by a fax it showed Tim Remy as the owner and it is actually Hampton Inn / Tom Christopolus and Oil Capital Neon. When the sign permit is issued it will show owner as Oil Capital Neon. They were the ones who submitted the applications for the permits and who will be picking them up and installing the signs.

Erik Enyart states that the sign meets the standard codes. He states that this doesn't require any ordinance exception to his knowledge.

Tim Remy abstains from this discussion. Tim stated that, as the developer, the applicant was told he didn't need the large sign of this type. Tim agrees to the 10 foot sign. Tim states that if he had to he would come back and amend the PUD to limit the height of the sign.

Powell motioned to deny the 26 foot neon sign and for the Oil Capital Neon and to direct the applicant to resubmit a sign no higher than 10 feet high ground sign, which may include the message board.

Remy abstains.

Motion was made by Powell and seconded by Savage to deny Case #07-07-01 and to direct the applicant to resubmit a ground sign application no higher than 10 feet, which may include the message board.

VOTING FOR: Savage, Powell, Skaggs, & Altmann
VOTING AGAINST: None
ABSTAINING: Remy
MOTION PASSED: 4-0-1

Case #07-07-02

Powell states the case is the discussion and possible action to approve a wall sign for Hampton Inn & Suites in Regal Plaza, 8200 E. Regal.

Enyart summarized the staff report and recommended Approval.

Savage, Powell, Skaggs, & Altmann states that if a message board is put on the West end or front end of building it would be appropriate enough for signage. We have no issue with this signage.

Remy stated that he will abstain and stated that, as the developer, he had no issue with this signage.

Motion was made by Skaggs and seconded by Altmann to approve.

VOTING FOR: Savage, Powell, Skaggs, & Altmann
VOTING AGAINST: None
ABSTAINING: Remy
MOTION PASSED: 4-0-1

Case #07-07-03

Powell states the case is the discussion and possible action to approve a wall sign for Hampton Inn & Suites in Regal Plaza, 8200 E. Regal.

Enyart summarized the staff report and recommended Approval.

Savage, Powell, Skaggs, & Altmann states that if a message board is put on the West end or front end of building it would be appropriate enough for signage. We have no issue with this signage.

Remy stated that he will abstain and stated that, as the developer, he had no issue with this signage.

Motion was made by Skaggs and seconded by Altmann to approval.

VOTING FOR: Savage, Powell, Skaggs, & Altmann
VOTING AGAINST: None
ABSTAINING: Remy
MOTION PASSED: 4-0-1

Old Business:

Crawford states that Enyart is meeting with the man who has the outbuilding at Express Lane along with Bryan Wiltekie and Charlie Brown. The owner was told to remove the building, clean it up, and then come back to apply for all new permits to abide the codes of the ordinances set by the city. Application was not approved.

New Business:

Discussion of compliance with ordinance officer.
What resources do we have if applicants don't do what they are supposed to do when they get the application approved?
What can we do to enforce to the code?
Fines don't work nor could we just pull the water meters?

Powell states that they have talked about the restraints of buildings and the discussion of compliance with ordinance officer with the Mayor. What resources do we have if applicants don't do what they are supposed to do when they get the application approved then not abide by it. What can we need to do in order to enforce to the code.

Enyart states perhaps looking at other city's code and perhaps draw from those such as Sapulpa or Broken Arrow will help. Enyart stated he will place an item on the next agenda to report on area programs considered successful and which may be used as an example.

Adjournment:

Motion was made by Skaggs and seconded by Altmann to adjourn the meeting.

Approved _____
Date _____